

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,692		02/15/2001	Zackery Alolabi	2716TC-045254	4535
38055	7590	08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
TIM COO	K		POND, ROBERT M		
	P.O. BOX 10107 LIBERTY, TX 77575			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.22.11,	111 ,,,,,			3625	
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/784,692	ALOLABI, ZACKERY					
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert M. Pond	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ly 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contified conice not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)					

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Applicant amended Claims 1 and 6. All pending claims (1-11) were examined in the non-final Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 28 July 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-11 under 35 USC have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Robertson and Martin. Robertson and Martin teach recipient specified delivery dates based on time intervals having a start and end date, and further teach multiple recipients.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the Applicant has not responded to a previous objection based on Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review included with the Office Action mailed on 19 November 2003. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of

Art Unit: 3625

an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1-11 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the reply filed 28 July 2004. In that paper, applicant has stated arguments and an example based on a single recipient, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the Applicant is claiming "recipients." For

Art Unit: 3625

purposes of examination, examination was based on a single recipient specifying at least two delivery dates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson (Paper #5, patent number 6,609,106), in view of Martin et al. (patent number 5,809,479 hereinafter referred to as "Martin").

Robertson teaches a system, means, and method for providing an electronic multi-merchant gift registry service over a distributed network. Robertson teaches a registrant making preference selections for a "wish list" remotely being stored in a database, users making database queries, associating with an online flower merchant, and filling orders placed by remote users over the Internet (see at least abstract; Fig. 1 (40, 50, 60, 70); col. 1, line 10 through col. 3, line 64). Robertson further teaches:

<u>Establishing a registry of prospective recipients:</u> An online gift registry
service provides registration of information for a gift registrant (hereinafter
referred to as "recipient") and allows access to the registry by potential gift

Art Unit: 3625

giver users (hereinafter referred to as "users") to make purchases based on occasion dates (see at least abstract; col. 3, lines 15-64); recipient registers with gift registry site (see at least Fig. 1 (70, 71, 74, 76); col. 9, lines 55-63); making database queries (see at least Fig. 29; col. 18, lines 32-33).

- Enrolling the preferences of the prospective recipients into the registry:
 recipient enrolls over the Internet web site to establish a wish list (please note examiner's interpretation: a wish list created by a recipient is a list containing the recipient's preferences) (see at least col. 2, lines 14-34).
- Matching at least two orders with the preference of the prospective
 recipient: recipient wish list can have more than one product listed; users
 desiring to make purchases for a specific recipient is matched with
 recipients preferences (see at least Fig. 30 (530, 535, 540); Fig. 33; col.
 22, line 58 through col. 23, line 3).

Art Unit: 3625

Preferences by another: making purchases based on a merchant's targeted sale (see at least col. 10, line 54-56).

- Perishable goods: participating merchants can be online flower merchants
 (also referred to as a florist by Robertson) (see at least col. 25, lines 2528; col. 27, line 7).
- <u>Timing system:</u> reminder subsystem to trigger purchases leading to product delivery; a service provider notification subsystem to trigger purchase events leading to product selling (see at least
- Filling at least two orders over a predetermined period of time by

 delivering the perishable goods to the prospective recipient on at least two

 different days where the preferences includes at least one time interval

 designated by the prospective recipient, during which the prospective

 recipient would prefer to have the at least two orders delivered:

Robertson teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teaches a) potentially filling at least two orders for delivery to a recipient based upon recipient preferences on a wish list with shipping information stored in a gift registry, subsequent purchases being associated with a particular date or occasion, b) a participating merchant among a plurality of participating merchants being an online flower merchant, and c) estimated delivery dates (see at least Fig. 36), but does not disclose filling at least two orders over a predetermined period of time by delivering the perishable goods to the prospective recipient on at least

Art Unit: 3625

two different days. Martin teaches an on-time delivery system for goods. Martin teaches a computer system that maintains customer order and delivery preferences in a database (see at least Fig. 1 (12, 14, 16, 22, 24, 26); col. 2, lines 28-38). Martin teaches customers specifying early and late delivery limits which specify on-time windows relative to delivery dates which are requested or expected by the individual customers (see at least col. 2, lines 48-54). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to modify the method of Robertson to include early and late delivery date limits as taught by Martin, in order for the recipient to specify a preferred delivery interval for an order, and thereby attract recipients to the online floral service desiring delivery windows.

Robertson and Martin teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach a) a recipient specifying more than one gift on an online wish list, b) at least two potential orders based on a recipient's wish list, and the recipient establishing gifts for at least two different occasions, and c) a recipient specifying a delivery window for orders, but do not disclose a delivery date for at least two orders. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the method of Robertson and Martin to disclose two different days, since one of ordinary skill in the art would ascertain a delivery window for each occasion.

Art Unit: 3625

Pertaining to system Claims 1-4

Rejection of Claims 1-4 is based on the same rationale as noted above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- US 6,591,243 (Grettve et al.) 08 July 2003; teaches a logistics system and method of controlling the flow and balance of goods from point of origin to point of consumption.
- US 6,430540 (Guidice et al.) 06 August 2002; teaches method and system for customers to modify product consumption forecasts.
- US 2002/0069124 (Hayes et al.) 06 June 2002; teaches online order system for agriculture products; start dates for periodic fulfillment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mr. Robert M. Pond** whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Mr. Vincent Millin** can be reached on 703-308-1065.

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9306 (Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Robert M. Pond Patent Examiner August 21, 2004